

Mary:

Reference to my CSI-NY file indicates no document using "#26". This may not be quite as it seems, however.

CSI-NY was really "odd" about the way they numbered things, having

- a) unnumbered "publications";
- b) numbered publications (sometimes w/o the number on them);
- c) numbered newsletters (which were also numbered publications but with a different # sequence).

I battled this mess once upon a time to try to determine what the heck they were doing. On some things I had to guess what "minor" (non-newsletter) publication they were calling a "publication" which should have had a number vs. one which was just considered some unnumbered "notice" (or whatever) to members.

I decided that it wasn't important. All that was really important (if anything) was the newsletter sequence, plus a few bulkier "meeting summaries".

George, if you look him up, says there was no #26. If Barry has no #26 either, I'd say there was none.

(cont'd)

I, if I had to construct a fantasy to explain this, would say that #27 is both #26 & #27, since #27 has "pieces" to it, CJI was in publications chaos there near the end (trying to get newsletter #11 out & at the same time mop up the rest of the loose ends). Some of #27 may have been thought by one of the NY-3 as being #26 & then it & the rest got glued together w/o stopping to organize the "#"  
plus, it could be as simple as a typo. \_\_\_\_\_  
note both Newtr 11 & Pub 27 are July 1959 (∴ a rush & a mixed attention was going on).

I'd not sweat any of this.

Mike.